

Iron County Register.

E. D. AKE, : : : : EDITOR.
VOLUME XIII, NUMBER 30.

IRONTON, MO.,
THURSDAY, FEB. 12, 1880.

The Republican "scratchers" in New York do not confine their opposition to Grant and Conkling alone. They have issued a circular in which the nomination of Blaine is also opposed. They favor some impossible candidate not named, and on election day will probably be found voting against the nominee, whoever he may be, if Conkling takes the field for him.

The three judges of Franklin county, imprisoned for refusing to levy a special tax to pay off certain bonds, were released last Friday, in obedience to a writ of supersedeas granted by the United States Supreme Court. The citizens of Franklin county have paid, or propose to pay, all the expense incurred by the arrest, trial, and imprisonment of the judges. And well they may.

We learn that Judge Louis F. Dinning, of the 26th judicial circuit court, of Potosi, Washington county, is a candidate for Attorney-General at the next general election. Of Mr. Dinning we know little personally; but in conversation with a late and popular member of the Supreme Bench, who knows personally the worth of Judge Dinning, we hear the most flattering and complimentary commendations. The Southeast must have some office, of course; and if the Democratic party say so, we will give the Judge our hearty support.—Boonville Weekly Advertiser.

The Franklin county Observer is devoting ten times too much space to Eshbaugh. We all know him too well down here in the Southeast to waste time or space upon him. He is, to put his political status shortly, a Radio-Greenback office-seeker. "Anything to beat the Democracy," is his motto; and, if his power equalled his will, there would not be a vestige of that great party left in the broad State of Missouri after the next election. Mr. Eshbaugh is a mighty good Republican, a played-out Greenbacker, and a very indifferent farmer.

There is some trouble in North Carolina over the delegates to the Republican National Convention. The State Central Committee met the other day and selected a full set of delegates in the interests of Sherman. The Grant men, who claim to embrace a majority of the North Carolina Republicans, are indignant at this, and declare that they will not stand it quietly, but will call a convention and send a full Grant delegation to Chicago. It seems that, for some years back, the Republican State Central Committee in North Carolina has been in the habit of selecting the delegates from the State, so that the Sherman men can claim usage in defiance of their course. If this contested delegation business is once commenced in the South, there is no telling where it will end, as the Grant men will send contestants in all the Sherman States, and vice versa.

Attorney General.

Of the possible and probable candidates spoken of for the office of Attorney General of this State, we are free to say that we give our preference to Judge Louis F. Dinning, judge of this judicial circuit. We have known Judge Dinning long and well, and believe him in every respect to possess superior qualifications for that office. As a member of the bar, he has been a successful practitioner; as a judge, he is a fearless and able expounder of the law—honest and incorruptible. We venture to say that of his decisions whilst he has been upon the bench, fewer have been reversed by the Supreme Court, than of any other circuit judge in this State. He is a patient, industrious lawyer, and his opinions, sound and well considered, everywhere command respect. Of pure and ingenious character, of affable manners and pleasant address, he wins for himself troops of friends. We believe he would make a faithful and able public officer, and, by his service in the above position, reflect honor on the State as well as add to his present honorable reputation.

A Southern Outrage.

The New Orleans Democrat is, we fear, albeit a paper of influence and standing, of too strict morality for the day and age. A bright, good-looking mulatto girl, named Anne Warwick, was arrested in New Orleans the other day, charged with grand larceny. In the warrant it was specified that she had purloined jewelry and other valuables belonging to her employer. The result of the proceedings was a mistrial, and the virtuous female went free, in accordance with the spirit of the fundamental law. Now, merely because that same evening the fair Anne gave a supper, over which she herself presided, at which three or four of the (white) jaymen presided, the Democrat gives out certain hints and innuendoes, thinly hid behind a transparent mask of virulent chaff and ill-affected good-natured badinage. What though the supper was paid for by one of the

pieces of jewelry in dispute? Can this trivial incident be justly made the basis of an outrageous onslaught upon the majesty of the law, and the sanctity of lawyers, jurymen, court, and legal proceedings? We had expected better things of so great a journal as the Democrat; besides, this is Presidential year, and our Southern brethren ought not to forget that the eyes of a justice loving, nigger-worshipping North are upon them!

The Keyhole Society.

They have a Society (in New York city) for the Prevention of Crime. It is in a great measure composed of clergymen, and its chief purpose appears to be that of prying into the affairs of every person of prominence and standing in the city. If a man or woman visit a place other than the most reputable character, the spies of the Society enter his or her name in a "black book" kept for that purpose, and the fact thus obtained is held over the head of the delinquent for all time to come. The offender is notified that the Society has this evidence against him or her, and that it may be used at any time under its worst possible construction. We copy with pleasure the few and forcible remarks of the Sun upon this miserable, dirt-seeking, mud-throwing association:

There is nothing more derogatory to the character of the human race; there is nothing more painful and humiliating to contemplate, there is no darker page in history, than the persecutions, the imprisonments, the cruelties, the tortures, the murders, which have been inflicted in the hallowed name of Religion.

"Oh Christ, all suffering and merciful One,
What damning deeds have in thy name been done!"

In the pages of history such deeds of the greatest atrocity are recorded; but there are few which in downright meanness, in detestableness of character, excel the system of espionage recently set on foot in the name of the Society for the Prevention of Crime, by Mr. D. J. Whitney, the Chairman of the Committee of the society for the Enforcement of Law.

Spies are employed by the society to make what are called black lists of citizens who violate no law, but who frequent certain houses and saloons. Armed with the reports of these execrable wretches, Mr. Whitney every now and then calls upon some gentleman whose name is down on one of his black lists and by threats of exposure attempts to control his conduct, to drive him hither and thither, to compel him to do this thing or that—in effect and virtually to make him his slave.

This is done in the name of Religion and Reform!

Nothing could be baser. Nothing could be more repugnant to every manly and generous instinct. The plan is disgusting and loathsome, and all concerned in it deserve lasting execration. If the learned clergy are going to try this ineffable meanness, they ought, it seems to us, at all events to limit the first experiment to members of their own profession. Judging from recent developments, a thorough espionage would rapidly reduce the ranks of the clergy. Mr. Whitney makes a to-do over having discovered a prominent church member emerging from a house with an unfringed reputation. But another vile spy might not possibly have discovered the pastor—some renowned reformer—at the same time in the man's house giving his wife lessons in the new science of "kissology." The greater thing should not be neglected for the lesser. A thorough and complete espionage of the clergy would occupy all the miserable and degraded wretches the Keyhole Society can muster in its ranks.

Mr. Whitney's conduct, as explained by himself, partakes of the nature of blackmail, though it does not appear that he, in person, extorts money from his victims; but what guarantee is there that his spies will abstain from this most odious of offences?

Mr. Whitney and his colleagues will find sooner or later—and the sooner the better—that they have made a grievous mistake. Their motives may be as pure and exalted as those of the Poyas murder or the Rev. Mr. Cowley; but their conduct is bad. The Americans are a proud-spirited, independent, liberty-loving people. They will tolerate no such superciliousness, no such espionage. They will not have even the holiest religion crammed down their throats against their will. They will be free. "They worship only God, nor even Him except in their own way."

Spies may be a necessity of war; but in time of peace all men will unite to make war upon spies.

TRUSTEE'S SALE.

WHEREAS, on the 21st day of February, 1872, by deed of trust, recorded in Book "N," pages 97 to 99, of Iron County Records of Deeds, Iron, Raney and Lucinda, his wife, conveyed to one Andrew J. Gaither the following described lands, to wit:

The west half of the southeast quarter, and the east half of the southwest quarter of section 17, (less 40 acres sold to David W. Shaver off the north end of the above described tract); also, the northeast quarter of the northwest quarter, and the northwest quarter of the northeast quarter, of section 20; and north half of the half of the south half of the northwest quarter of section No. 20; all in township 30, north, of range four east—240 acres; Which conveyance was made in trust to secure a promissory note therein described; and, whereas, said note has long since been due and is unpaid; and, whereas, by the terms of said deed of trust, in case of the absence of said Gaither from the county, the sheriff of Iron county should advertise, sell and convey said lands; and said Gaither is absent from the county; Now, therefore, notice is hereby given, that, at the request of the holder of said note, and by virtue and authority in me vested by said deed of trust, I will, on

Friday, the 5th day of March, 1880, between the hours of 10 o'clock a. m. and 5 o'clock p. m., in front of the Passenger Depot, in the village of Des Arc, Iron county, Missouri, proceed to sell said real estate, at public auction, for cash, to satisfy said note and expense of this trust.

JAMES BUFORD,
Sheriff of Iron county, Mo.

1880. 1880.

BOOMING!

Prices on all classes of

DRY GOODS

Are going up every day; especially on

Dress Goods, Domestic,
Prints, Flannels,
Woolens.

Having made our orders several weeks ago, we are
now prepared and determined to benefit our friends
and patrons in the great saving we have made.

YOU WILL SAVE

From 25 to 30 per cent. by getting your Summer Dry Goods early.

A Word to the Wise is Sufficient!

LIBERAL TO THE LAST!

All our Winter Goods—both Cotton
and Woolen—have been

MARKED DOWN

Without regard to cost, to make room for our

Great Spring Stock,

ALWAYS ARRIVING.

Immense Reductions
Ladies' Shawls,
Shoes, Etc.

NO HUMBUG!

GROCERIES
At St. Louis Prices.

MRS. S. LOPEZ,
Ironton, - - - Missouri.

ONE HUNDRED

Wood - Choppers

WANTED!

AT OZARK MILLS, IRON CO., MO.

CASH PAID REGULARLY.

Apply to E. C. RUSSELL.

JOHN ALBERT,
CARPENTER AND BUILDER,

SHOP NORTH SIDE PUBLIC SQUARE,
IRONTON, : : : : MISSOURI.

Also, Coffins Constantly on Hand.

Order of Publication.

In the Circuit Court of Iron county, Mo.,
October Term, A. D. 1879—Thursday,
Nov. 6th, 1879:
The State of Missouri at the relation and
to the use of James Buford, collector of the
revenue of Iron county, in the State of
Missouri,

vs.
Jason D. Simons, Chas. Hanback; Chas.
Hanback and Charles Hanback.

[Suit for Back Taxes on Delinquent Lands]
NOW at this day comes the relator,
James Buford, collector of the revenue
of Iron county, in the State of Missouri,
by attorney, and shows to the satisfaction
of the court that the Order of
Publication heretofore made in this cause
in vacation of this court had not been published
according to law.

Wherefore, it is ordered by the court
that said Order of Publication be renewed,
and that publication be made, notifying
the defendants, Jason D. Simons,
Chas. Hanback, Chas. Hanback and
Charles Hanback, that an action
has been commenced against them
in the circuit court of said county, the
object and general nature of which is to
enforce the lien of the State of Missouri
for back taxes for the years 1873, 1874,
1875, 1876 and 1877, on the following real
estate, situated in Iron county, Missouri,
belonging to said defendants, to wit:

The northwest quarter of section 13, in
township 32, north, range 4 east;
(An itemized statement in the nature
of a tax bill, showing the amounts of
taxes now due on said real estate, for the
years aforesaid, amounting, in the aggregate,
to the sum of \$17.41, is filed with said
petition, as provided by law.)

And unless they be and appear at the
next term of said court, to be held for
the county of Iron, and State of Missouri,
at the courthouse, in said county, on the
fourth Monday in April next, 1880,
and on or before the sixth day thereof, (if the
term shall so long continue; and, if not,
then before the end of the term), and
plead, answer or demur to said plaintiff's
petition, the same will be taken as
confessed, judgment rendered in accordance
with the prayer of said petition, and said
real estate, or so much thereof as may be
necessary to satisfy said judgment, interest
and cost, be sold under a special fieri
facias to be issued thereon.

It is further ordered that a copy hereof
be published according to law in the Iron
County Register, a weekly newspaper,
published in said county of Iron, State of
Missouri.

A true copy:

Attest, with seal, this 15th day of
January, 1880.

[SEAL.] JOS. HUFF, Clerk
Iron county circuit court.

Order of Publication.

In the Circuit Court of Iron county,
Missouri, October Term, 1879—Thursday,
Nov. 6th, 1879:
The State of Missouri at the relation and
to the use of James Buford, collector
of the revenue of Iron county, in the State of
Missouri,

vs.
Wm. T. Hopkins.

[Suit for Back Taxes on Delinquent
Lands.]
NOW at this day comes the relator,
James Buford, collector of the revenue
of Iron county, in the State of Missouri,
by attorney, and shows to the satisfaction
of the court that an Order of
Publication heretofore made in this cause
in vacation of this court has not been published
according to law.

Wherefore, it is ordered by the court
that said Order of Publication be renewed,
and that publication be made notifying
said defendant, Wm. T. Hopkins,
that an action has been commenced against
him in the circuit court of said county, the
object and general nature of which is to
enforce the lien of the State of Missouri for
back taxes for the years 1868, 1869, 1870, 1871,
1872, 1873, 1874, 1875, 1876 and 1877, on the
following real estate, situated in Iron county,
Missouri, belonging to said defendant, to wit:

The northwest quarter of the northeast
quarter, and the northeast quarter of the
northwest quarter, and the south half of
the northeast quarter, and the southeast
quarter, of section 8, in township 30,
north, of range 3 east;

(An itemized statement in the nature of
a tax bill, showing the amount of taxes,
now due on said real estate, for the years
aforesaid, amounting in the aggregate to
the sum of \$55.73, is filed with said petition,
as provided by law.)

And unless they be and appear at the
next term of said court, to be held for
the county of Iron, and State of Missouri,
at the courthouse, in said county, on the
fourth Monday in April next, 1880, and
on or before the sixth day thereof, (if the
term shall so long continue; and, if not,
then before the end of the term), and
plead, answer or demur to said plaintiff's
petition, the same will be taken as
confessed, judgment rendered in accordance
with the prayer of said petition, and said
real estate, or so much thereof as may be
necessary to satisfy said judgment, interest
and cost, be sold under a special fieri
facias to be issued thereon.

It is further ordered that a copy hereof
be published according to law in the Iron
County Register, a weekly newspaper
published in said county of Iron, and State
of Missouri.

A true copy:

Attest, with seal, this 15th day of
January, 1880.

[SEAL.] JOS. HUFF, Clerk
Iron county circuit court.

Order of Publication.

In the Circuit Court of Iron county, Mo.,
October Term, 1879—Thursday, Nov.
6th, 1879:
The State of Missouri at the relation and
to the use of James Buford, collector of the
revenue of Iron county, in the State of
Missouri,

vs.
Moses E. Cox, R. C. Follet, Robert L.
Lindsay and Edward A. Norman.

[Suit for Back Taxes on Delinquent Lands]
NOW at this day comes the relator,
James Buford, collector of the revenue
of Iron county, in the State of Missouri,
by attorney, and shows to the satisfaction
of the court that an Order of
Publication heretofore made in this cause

in vacation of this court has not been published
according to law;

Wherefore, it is ordered by the court
that said Order of Publication be renewed,
and that publication be made notifying
defendants, Moses E. Cox, R. C. Follet,
Robert L. Lindsay and Edward A. Norman,
that an action has been commenced against them
in the circuit court of said county, the object and
general nature of which is to enforce the
lien of the State of Missouri for back
taxes for the years 1867, 1868, 1869, 1870,
1871, 1873, and 1877, on the following real
estate, situated in Iron county, Missouri, belonging
to said defendants, to wit:

The west half of section 35, in township
35, north, of range 2 west;
(An itemized statement in the nature of
a tax bill, showing the amounts of taxes,
now due on said real estate, for the years
aforesaid, amounting in the aggregate to
the sum of \$17.36, is filed with said petition,
as provided by law.)

And unless they be and appear at the
next term of said court, to be held for
said county of Iron, and State of Missouri,
at the courthouse, in said county, on the
fourth Monday in April next, 1880, and
on or before the sixth day thereof, (if the
term shall so long continue; and, if not,
then before the end of the term), and
plead, answer or demur to said plaintiff's
petition, the same will be taken as
confessed, judgment rendered in accordance
with the prayer of said petition, and said real
estate, or so much thereof as may be necessary
to satisfy said judgment, interest and
costs, be sold under a special fieri facias
to be issued thereon.

It is further ordered that a copy hereof
be published according to law in the Iron
County Register, a weekly newspaper,
published in said county of Iron, and State
of Missouri.

A true copy:

Attest, with seal, this 15th day of
January, 1880.

[SEAL.] JOS. HUFF, Clerk
Iron county circuit court.

ORDER OF PUBLICATION.
In the Circuit Court of Iron county, Missouri,
in vacation—January 15th, 1880:
The State of Missouri, at the relation and
to the use of James Buford, collector of
the revenue of Iron county, Missouri,
against

Jno. S. Snedaker.

[Action to Enforce Payment of Taxes.]
Now at this day comes the plaintiff,
James Buford, collector of the revenue of
Iron county, Missouri, and files his petition
and affidavit, setting forth, among
other things, that the defendant, Jno. S.
Snedaker, is a non-resident of the State of
Missouri, and cannot be summoned in
this action by the ordinary process of law;
it is, therefore, ordered by the clerk of the
circuit court of Iron county, Missouri, in
vacation, that publication be made, notifying
said defendant, Jno. S. Snedaker, that an action
has been commenced against him in the
circuit court of said county, the object and
general nature of which is to enforce the
lien of the State of Missouri for back taxes
for the years 1872, 1873, 1874, 1875, 1876,
1877 and 1878, on the following real estate,
situated in Iron county, Missouri, belonging
to said defendant, to wit:

The west half of the northwest quarter
of section 31, township 31, range 4 east;
(An itemized statement in the nature of
a tax bill, showing the amounts of taxes,
interest and costs now due on said real estate,
for the years aforesaid, amounting in the
aggregate to the sum of \$15.96, is filed
with said petition, as provided by law.)

And unless they be and appear at the
next term of said court, to be held for
the county of Iron, and State of Missouri,
at the courthouse, in said county, on the
fourth Monday in April next, 1880, and
on or before the sixth day thereof, (if the
term shall so long continue; and, if not,
then before the end of the term), and
plead, answer or demur to said plaintiff's
petition, the same will be taken as
confessed, judgment rendered in accordance
with the prayer of said petition, and said
real estate, or so much thereof as may be
necessary to satisfy said judgment, interest
and cost, be sold under a special fieri
facias to be issued thereon.

It is further ordered that a copy hereof
be published according to law in the Iron
County Register, a weekly newspaper
published in said county of Iron, and State
of Missouri.

A true copy:

Attest, with seal, this 15th day of
January, 1880.

[SEAL.] JOS. HUFF, Clerk
Iron county circuit court.

ORDER OF PUBLICATION.
In the Circuit Court of Iron county, Mo.,
in vacation—January 20, 1880:
The State of Missouri at the relation and
to the use of James Buford, collector of
the revenue of Iron county, Mo.,
against

Harris R. Holden and all other unknown
Interested parties.

[Action to Enforce Payment of Taxes.]
Now at this day comes the plaintiff,
James Buford, collector of the revenue of
Iron county, Missouri, and files his petition
and affidavit, setting forth, among
other things, that the defendants are
non-residents of the State of Missouri,
and cannot be summoned in this action by
the ordinary process of law; it is, therefore,
ordered by the clerk of the circuit court
of Iron county, Mo., in vacation, that
publication be made, notifying said
defendant, Harris R. Holden, and other
unknown interested parties, that an action
has been commenced against them in the
circuit court of said county, the object and
general nature of which is to enforce the
lien of the State of Missouri for back
taxes for the years 1867, 1868, 1869, 1870,
1871, 1872, 1873, 1874, 1875, 1876, 1877
and 1878, on the following real estate,
situated in Iron county, Missouri, belonging
to said defendant, to wit:

The north half of the northeast quarter
of section 19, in township 35, north, of
range 1 east;

(An itemized statement in the nature of
a tax bill, showing the amounts of taxes,
interest and costs now due on said real estate,
for the years aforesaid, amounting in the
aggregate to the sum of \$16.88 is filed
with said petition, as provided by law.)

And unless they be and appear at the
next term of said court, to be held for
the county of Iron, and State of Missouri,
at the courthouse, in said county, on the
fourth Monday in April next, 1880, and
on or before the sixth day thereof, (if the
term shall so long continue; and, if not,
then before the end of the term), and
plead, answer or demur to said plaintiff's
petition, the same will be taken as
confessed, judgment rendered in accordance
with the prayer of said petition, and said
real estate, or so much thereof as may be
necessary to satisfy said judgment, interest
and cost, be sold under a special fieri
facias to be issued thereon.

It is further ordered that a copy hereof
be published according to law in the Iron
County Register, a weekly newspaper
published in said county of Iron, and
State of Missouri.

A true copy:

Attest, with seal, this 20th day of
January, 1880.

[SEAL.] JOS. HUFF, Clerk
Iron county circuit court.